Sent:

Monday, 19 December 2022 3:55 PM

Subject:

Anonymous User completed Short Survey

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.

What type of job do you do?

representative of an industry association

Which industry or sector do you predominantly work in?

construction - civil

Do you have any views about the current training investments made by the CITB?

Spread the money raised evenly across the sectors

Do you have any views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the funding is directed?

An even amount of employee representatives from Unions and employer representative from Employer associations

is required to balance the views and complemented by government appointments.

Do you have vie	ews about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
	entices. These people are invested in the outcome and have the best knowledge to ensure success.
funding is direc	y views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the ted? on those on the Board should represent those who employ apprentices or those who are active in the
I believe the Bo	ard should not be restricted from going outside of Government to invest provided the investments ble organisations and they are sourced by competitive tender
Do you have an	y views about the current training investments made by the CITB?
construction - c	
M/high industry	or sector do you predominantly work in?
	pecify) - Past Chair of the CITB and an Independent Director
What type of jo	
Anonymous Use	er just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
From: <u>Se</u> nt:	YourSAy <u>Wednesday, 21 December 2</u> 022 12:04 PM

I think the CITB makes a great contribution to the Construction Industry provided its funds are used in a manner that produces Apprentices who would not otherwise enter the industry. I also believe Board members should not be appointed for political reasons they should be independent.

From: Sent:	YourSAy Tuesday, 27 December 2022 3:41 PM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Anonymous User j	ust submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of job o	do you do?
construction empl	oyee
Which industry or	sector do you predominantly work in?
construction - com	mercial
Do you have any v	riews about the current training investments made by the CITB?
The investments a	re swallowed up by red tape and bureaucratic procedures,
Do you have any v	riews on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the I?
The board should lindustry,	be made up of people in the industry, the current board is not a good representation of the
Do you have views	s about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
It works	
If there was one the what would it be?	ning you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board -
Distribution of the	funds
Any other comme	nts?
I would like to be p	part of the board

From: Sent:	YourSAy Monday, 9 January 2023 8:39 AM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Anonymous Us	ser just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of j	ob do you do?
construction e	mployee
Which industr	y or sector do you predominantly work in?
construction -	commercial
Do you have a	ny views about the current training investments made by the CITB?
see the subsidi	at the RTO's receiving CITB subsidies are in fact delivering the required outcomes. Some of these RTO's ies as a right and not money they are paid to deliver outcomes on. It would be good to see a bit of lovative processes.
Do you have a funding is dire	ny views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the cted?
project manag	at least 2 persons that work in the industry, 1 an actual construction worker and 1 that works in ement instead of the usual self interest employer, employee organisations representatives and board ys make up the CITB board.
Do you have v	iews about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
Historically it s	eems to work well.
If there was or what would it	ne thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board - be?
There has alwa	ays seemed to be a reluctance by the CITB to monitor the quality of RTO training delivery and actual

Any other comments?

outcomes for workers in the construction industry receiving training.

Focus on construction workers outcomes. Get out and promote the CITB on sites.

From: YourSAy

Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2023 4:36 PM

Subject: Anonymous User completed Short Survey

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.

What type of job do you do?

representative of an industry association

Which industry or sector do you predominantly work in?

Other (please specify) - Training Board

Do you have any views about the current training investments made by the CITB?

The great emphasis on building the pipeline of construction workers through support secondary school programs is important and should continue to grow and evolve to support future government and industry initiatives. There is a gap in support for job seekers that are not enrolled in school to enter the construction industry.

Do you have any views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the funding is directed?

Industry Associations, educational and training sectors. Representatives from organisations who can advise on specific training and labour requirements for industry.

Do you have views about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?

Considering the cost of construction and materials, I think the value price should be lifted.

From: YourSAy
Sent: Tuesday, 10 January 2023 9:30 PM
Subject: Anonymous User completed Short Survey

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.

What type of job do you do?

construction employer

Which industry or sector do you predominantly work in?

construction - commercial

Do you have any views about the current training investments made by the CITB?

Lack of visibility and knowing where the levy is spent. Assume it goes into state government general revenue

From: Sent:	YourSAy Monday, 16 January 2023 <u>6</u> :11 PM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Anonymous U	ser just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of j	ob do you do?
construction e	mployer
Which industr	y or sector do you predominantly work in?
construction -	commercial
Do you have a	ny views about the current training investments made by the CITB?
Very good, pro	ovides excellent opportunities for many
Do you have a funding is dire	ny views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the cted?
They should be	e represented by a broad range of the industry
Do you have v	iews about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
No	
If there was or what would it	ne thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board - be?
CITC and it's ro	ole as a CFMEU training organisation

From: <u>Se</u> nt:	YourSAy Wednesday, 18 January 2023 12:53 PM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Anonymous Us	ser just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of j	ob do you do?
trainer/teache	r
Which industry	y or sector do you predominantly work in?
education and	training
Do you have a	ny views about the current training investments made by the CITB?
awareness whi	ng currently enable students in the Doorways to Construction program to be trained in environmentals lst working onsite. This is an essential initiative to ensure youth entering the trades are aware of their responsibilities.
Do you have a	ny views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the cted?
Please maintai	n environmental training for the D2C program.
Do you have vi	iews about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
No	
If there was on what would it	ne thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board - be?
Maintain enviro	onmental training to ensure a sustainable future.

From: Sent: Subject:	Wednesday, 18 January 2023 1:38 PM completed Short Survey	
just sul	omitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.	
What type of job	do you do?	
construction emp	loyee	
Which industry o	r sector do you predominantly work in?	
construction - civi	il	
Do you have any	views about the current training investments made by the CITB?	
It's very helpful with the cost of upskilling and training, Why doesn't it help with the "construction induction, white card" training? It is the absolute basic starter card to have & most employers won't even consider someone who doesn't have one??		
Do you have any funding is directe	views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the d?	
It's difficult but no	eeds a wide section of a very large industry covered.	
Do you have view	s about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?	
It's a fair system t	hat the larger the project the more support.	
If there was one to what would it be	thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board -?	
More access to tr	aining the more of a positive outcome with lowering unsafe work practices with education.	
Any other commo	ents?	
It's a very helpful	system that i know for me personally has helped me many times, especially with the increasing	

number of large companies keeping construction workers on labourhire for years at a time (in the past i have been

on labourhire & therefor a casual employee for many years at a time unable to get a loan for a house or even a car, made to buy my own safety equiptment PPE & tools to do my job, it's a special feeling when you can get a bit of help self-training & making yourself a better & more safe worker). The worker most time is expected to fund their own training which can be rather expensive for casual workers with no job security.

From: YourSAy

Sent: Wednesday, 18 January 2023 4:23 PM

Subject: Anonymous User completed Short Survey

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.

What type of job do you do?

construction employer

Which industry or sector do you predominantly work in?

construction - civil

Any other comments?

I am not in favour of widening the scope for the mining & petroleum industries. We do not have mechanisms in our existing long term agreements with our clients to be able to be reimbursed for these additional costs.

From: Sent:	YourSAy Wednesday, 18 January 2023 6:56 PM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Anonymous User	just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of job	do you do?
construction emp	oloyee
Which industry o	r sector do you predominantly work in?
construction - cor	nmercial
Do you have any	views about the current training investments made by the CITB?
	g initiatives currently on offer are worthwhile with the use of the CITB number workers are getting d not be sable to afford without the subsidy
Do you have any funding is directe	views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the ed?
Company owners	industry association representatives
Do you have view	vs about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
Could be raised	
If there was one what would it be	thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board - ?
Raise the levy and	d fund more training

From: Sent:	YourSAy Friday, 20 January 2023 10:37 AM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Subject.	Anonymous osci completed short survey
Anonymous Us	er just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of jo	ob do you do?
construction er	nployee
Which industry	y or sector do you predominantly work in?
construction - o	commercial
Do you have a	ny views about the current training investments made by the CITB?
-	ovide funding to entry level training rather than courses like advanced rigging or advanced scaffolding n required as a qualification across the wider industry.
Do you have an	ny views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the cted?
representation or LGA	from the potential employers of those receiving training would have more value than that of unions
Do you have vi	ews about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
no position	

From: Sent:	YourSAy Friday, 20 January 2023 10:59 PM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Anonymous U	ser just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of	job do you do?
Other (please	specify) - Local government building surveyor
Which industr	ry or sector do you predominantly work in?
Other (please	specify) - Local government
Do you have a	any views about the current training investments made by the CITB?
Na	
Do you have a	any views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the ected?
Na	
Do you have v	views about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
The trigger at	40k is to low and the rate is to high. The fund is under utilised and just adds any cost to building works
If there was o	ne thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board -
How much cas	sh is laying dormant
Any other con	nments?
Councils shoul	ld not be playing citf 'police' and ensuring that it is paid during the DA process. The board needs to

From:	YourSAy
Sent:	Monday, 23 January 2023 10:03 AM
Subject:	Anonymous User completed Short Survey
Anonymous User ju	ist submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.
What type of job d	o you do?
construction emplo	over .
μ	
Maria la la divista di cata	
which industry or	sector do you predominantly work in?
construction - resid	ential
Do you have any vi	ews about the current training investments made by the CITB?
Do you have any vi	ews about the current training investments made by the errb.
I think it is a good s	ystem It helps us train workers and some of the training is subsidized through CITB
Do vou have any vi	ews on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the
funding is directed	
	presentative would be great as well as the larger construction representatives. There are things
that are specific to	small employers
Do you have views	about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?
No it is straightforw	vard
1 f th andaa ana th	
what would it be?	ing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board -
THE WOULD IT DE:	
Make it simple for s	small employers to access



2nd February 2023

Department for Education Adelaide SA 5001 E: CITFActReview@sa.gov.au

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: Review of the Construction Industry Training Fund Act

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the respond to the proposed changes to the Construction Industry Training Fund Act review.

The Resources and Engineering Skills Alliance (RESA) is the primary skills and workforce development advisory body for the mining and energy industry sectors in South Australia.

RESA submits the following for consideration in relation to the short survey questions provided.

If there was one thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board - what would it be?

Civil infrastructure projects related to mining and exploration projects do not, and are not appropriate, fit within the definition of Construction for the purpose of the Construction Industry Training Fund Act.

RESA is already aware, as a member of the civil industry advisory group to the Construction Industry Training Board, that the allocation of funds to the civil sector (based on the proportion of contributions) has not matched the intended \$1/\$1 distribution under the current program.

Rather than reallocating the unexpended funds for the use of other sectors, it is RESA's believe that further consultation and flexibility be explored to ensure that contributions from civil projects are returned to support workforce development for the civil infrastructure sector.

With civil infrastructure activity related to mining and petroleum activities proposed to be captured in the scope of leviable activity, there is some concern the return in benefits to building workforce capability for these sectors will be diluted. Given the remote locations and related higher costs of projects overall, and the proposal that the 'number of employees' be somehow a factor in the calculation of the levy, there needs to be careful consideration given to whether the capability building activity supported by the levy for these operations would add any benefit to the workforce challenges they experience.

The proposed changes to the allocation of funds. (ie 60% only returned to industry contributing with the remaining 40% to be allocated to 'cross-sector' programs) raises additional concerns relating to the returns to the industry sectors should the scope for leviable activities be extended.



The resources sector already makes significant contributions through royalty payments to the South Australian State government in accordance with the provisions of the Mining Act 19701 and Mining Regulations Act 2020. Royalties vary from 3.5% of the value of minerals to 52 cents per tonne depending on mineral type and totalled \$323m in 2020-21. The industry also contributed \$5.5bn in exports in the same period¹.

It would seem counter-productive to economic growth to the State to impose an additional levy that will impact on the viability of the development of projects across regional and remote areas of the State and without a demonstrable assurance on the return on investment to the resources sector.

The proposed changes to the allocation of funds. (ie 60% only returned to industry contributing with the remaining 40% to be allocated to 'cross-sector' programs) raises additional concerns relating to the returns to the mining and exploration sectors should the scope for leviable activities be extended.

Any other comments?

RESA recommends the mining, exploration and petroleum industry representatives need to be directly consulted in relation to the implications of the changes in the scope of leviable activity particularly related to their operations along with the industry associations, particularly Association of Mining and Exploration Companies (AMEC) and South Australian Chamber of Mines and Energy.

RESA suggests that the South Australian mineral resources industry views will be consistent with the views captured in the Issues Paper from the industry in Western Australia:

Extract from Issues Paper:

'...- companies in the sector, which already spend a large proportion of budget on structured training , were unfairly impacted

The industry having high capital costs, which made the imposition of the Levy on capital cost unfair, compared to other industries'

The issues paper statement 'Broadening the capture of leviable activities on or around mining and petroleum projects would considerably increase levy collection rates' does not reflect the intent of the Act and seems to imply that broadening the scope is more about bolstering the coffers for the levy than building workforce capability - particularly when combined with the proposal to remove the 1/1 alignment between the contributing industry and the allocation of funds.

Given the significant economic contributions of the mineral resources and energy sectors across gross state profit, exports and social impact (employment, community contributions etc) there are broader considerations to the impact of increasing costs related to exploration, mining projects and energy sector projects and developments.

Do you have any views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the funding is directed?

If mining, exploration and petroleum industry activities exemption is removed and activities for these sectors a representative from the mining/energy sector should be represented on the Board to ensure accountability and return on investment to industry.

I can be contacted directly to for any further information or clarification you may require.

 $^{^1\,}https://www.energymining.sa.gov.au/about/economic-snapshot$



Your sincerely

Jodie Badcock

Chief Executive Officer

From: YourSAy

<u>Sent:</u> Friday, 27 January 2023 3:21 PM

Subject: Anonymous User completed Short Survey

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.

What type of job do you do?

representative of an employee association (e.g. union)

Which industry or sector do you predominantly work in?

Other (please specify) - I am former chair of the board.

Do you have any views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the funding is directed?

The former model of nominees of employer and employee organisations together with expertise in education and training and an independent chair nominated by the minister worked well. There was no need to change it. Discussions in the board were wholesome and extensive. Various parties learned more about challenges and constraints faced by others and there was an underpinning desire to have a safe and prosperous construction industry. I can't see how that balance could be obtained with the revised model. I think it is also relevant to note that, from my observations, the states with a representative training board seemed to have less industrial disruption. That was also noted in discussion from time to time.

Do you have views about the Levy - its rate of 0.25% on projects above \$40,000 or how its collected?

No views

If there was one thing you wanted the review to know about construction industry training or the CITB Board - what would it be?

The CITB needs to focus on 'blue collar workers' and provide courses relating to safety, new techniques and innovations, decision making, record keeping etc on the job. These should include courses that allow workers to transition to higher levels such as project or site management. Courses for professionals and para professionals should not be subsidised. There are existing mechanisms for professional groups to advance their skills.

Any other comments?

I will now make a more comprehensive submission addressing the propositions in the issues paper.

Sent: Monday, 30 January 2023 8:42 AM

Subject: Anonymous User completed Short Survey

Anonymous User just submitted the survey Short Survey with the responses below.

What type of job do you do?

trainer/teacher

Which industry or sector do you predominantly work in?

education and training

Do you have any views about the current training investments made by the CITB?

More assistance for the delivery of training services to the regions. Talk to Regional employers and their staff to find out what they actually need assistance with.

Do you have any views on who should be represented on the Board that makes decisions about where the funding is directed?

There should be some Regional representation on the Board. Regional workers and communities do not have the same access to training as their metro counterparts for a multitude of reasons.