

Response to the Investigation and Review of the Construction Industry

Training Fund Act 1993 – Issues Paper December 2022

Submitted by the Master Plumbers Association of SA Inc 7th February 2023

Introduction

Master Plumbers Association of South Australia Inc (MPA SA) is the peak Plumbing Industry representative body in South Australia.

MPA SA was established in 1908 and promotes the on-going protection of community health and safety through the provision of quality plumbing services, both state and federally throughout South Australia and the Northern Territory.

MPA SA represents Plumbing and Gas Contractors, Licenced Plumbers and Gas Fitters, Manufacturers and industry stakeholders.

We advocate for high standard training practices, government support around continuous improvement schemes, and industry development and advancement.

Our goal is to ensure the community is protected against unlawful and non-compliant plumbing and gas installations and products.

The revision of the Construction Industry Training Fund Act 1993 provides an opportunity to refocus on the changing training environment for students entering the industry as well as existing workers, requirements for employers, and future needs to ensure an agile, flexible and sustainable structure in the building and construction industry.

Issues paper - Response to proposals made in the Terms of Reference:

CITB composition, administration and operation

Concerning the amendments made to the Act by the Construction Industry Training Fund Act 2019.

No.	Propositions/Question	ToR
How effective is the CITB, as currently comprised and administered, in attaining the objects of the CITF Act through the exercise of its functions and powers (as outlined in Sections 11 and 12 of the CITF Act)?		A1
1.	The Act should include Objects so that the Board's purpose and priority for the administration of the Fund is clearer. This should include that the Fund should be applied to addressing skills shortages, upskilling and entry level training as supported by data and evidence available to the Board.	Agree - allocation of funding based on data is preferred to allocation based on sector contribution.
relat	at opportunities exist to support the achievement of these objects in tion to The composition of the CITB The staffing of the organisation Other governance or operational arrangements	A2
2.	The Act should require the appointment of Board members to have a greater balance of employer and employee perspectives than is presently the case.	Agree – Representatives with employee interests must have a depth of knowledge and experience around training skills. For example, representatives from group training organisations can provide employee perspective as can union representatives.
3.	The expression of interest process for Board appointees should remain, but the Minister should not be compelled to utilise this if the Minister is satisfied that good reason exists not to.	Agree - the EOI should remain but not overruled at the Minister's discretion.
4.	The Act should require the appointment of a Board member with extensive knowledge of training policy and the contemporary training landscape.	Agree
5.	The Act should require that the Minister ensure that through appointments to the Board, members collectively bring sufficient expertise in the building and construction industry, legal and financial skills. Consideration should also be given to promoting diversity in making appointments to the Board.	Agree - in principle in relation to expertise and relevant skills but do not agree in promoting diversity in the absence of the appointee holding the relevant expertise and skills.

6.	The appointment of Deputy Members should be reserved only for members appointed due to a specific skill set.	MPA believes that Deputy Members are not required due to concerns around confidentiality and Deputy Members lacking sufficient knowledge of past meetings and discussions. In addition with remote attendance at meetings becoming more mainstream via Zoom / Teams, it is now less likely that Members will need to miss meetings.
7.	The ability for the Presiding Member to exercise a casting vote should remain.	Agree
8.	The provision for a majority Board decision should remain.	Agree
9.	The Act should confirm the principle that Board members' overriding fiduciary duty is to the Board and its objects under the Act.	Agree – whilst this shouldn't be necessary, we still agree that the Act should articulate this principle.
10.	The Act should formalise a requirement to consult with Sector Committees during the preparation of the Training Plan.	Agree
11.	The appointment of an independent Chair of the Finance and Audit Committee should be facilitated by permitting the Minister to approve remuneration of the Chair of committees.	Agree – The Board is the medium to approve the Chair position, with the Minister to have discretion over remuneration arrangements.
12.	The Act's position in relation to the use of public service employees should reflect that in the <i>South Australian Skills Act 2008</i> to enable more integrated and complementary connections between the Board and Government.	Agree – subject to the initiative being for the purposes of ensuring the overall objectives of the CITF Act are met.
	the exemptions to paying the levy as described in Section 23 of the F Act and in the Regulations appropriate?	B2
13.	If an item's cost would ordinarily be captured by the Act, the fact that it is associated with generation, supply or transmission of electricity should not exclude that item from calculation of the levy. (For example, construction work associated with the installation of wind turbines or solar panels would be leviable activity.) [See regulation 13(3) of the Regulations]	Agree – subject to the retention of the \$40,000 threshold.

14.	If an activity would ordinarily be captured by Schedule 1 of the Act and the activity is maintenance or repair work carried out by a self-employed person or an employee for the benefit of his or her employer, where the principal business activity of the self-employed person or employer is not in the building and construction industry, this activity should not be excluded from building or construction work for the purposes of the Act. (For example, maintenance or repair work performed by employees of a council would be leviable activity – as is the case presently if such work is contracted out.) [See Schedule 1(2)(a) of the Act]	Disagree
15.	If an activity would ordinarily be captured by Schedule 1 of the Act, the fact that it is associated with mining and petroleum activity should no longer automatically be grounds for exemption. Exemption should apply when associated with core resources operations or other specified activities. (For example, earthworks and building activity associated with the construction or maintenance of roads, tracks, or airstrips would be leviable activity. However, if WA's exemptions were mirrored, then work associated with resource exploration, unsealed haul road tracks etc. would continue to be excluded) [See Schedule 1(15) of the Act]	Agree—This sector greatly profits from the excellent work of the CITB in providing funding for both entry level and upskilling training and should contribute the same as other sectors.
Is th	e current levy collection method effective?	В3
16.	The levy should be calculated by reference to employee data not by project value to enable a similar quantum of funds to be collected via a more streamlined process.	Disagree – this process would require significantly greater work and therefore cost in determining the levy and substantially change who is responsible for payment of the levy.
17.	If the levy is still to be calculated by project value, the definition of project owner should be changed so that the levy is payable by the landowner or head lessee rather than the current definition of project owner.	Disagree – The current project definition for the collection of the levy should be retained.
18.	The Civil sector should remain as part of the CITF Act scheme.	Agree
19.	Planning for allocation of the Fund should be revised to better utilise available funds for the Civil sector, including in relation to attraction and retention initiatives; and short courses which equip Civil sector workers to work in other sectors when there is a downturn in civil construction activity.	Disagree – Each sector deserves equal rights / allocation of the funds available.
Is the current levy rate of 0.25 per cent of the estimated value of building or construction work (or such other percentage not exceeding 0.5 per cent of that value as may be prescribed in regulations) appropriate to meet the workforce needs of the sector?		B1
20.	In the absence of an alternative method of calculation than project value, the 0.25% levy remains as an appropriate rate for the Board to fulfil its role and functions under the Act.	Agree
21.	If the levy is based on project value, it should apply to a project's value excluding GST.	Agree

22.	If the levy remains calculated based on project value and exemptions are reduced resulting in an increase in revenue, the threshold of \$40,000 should be increased to reduce the administrative burden of payment and collection on low value projects.	Disagree
23.	The levy threshold should be contained in the Regulations and reviewed periodically against CPI increases and other relevant data (such as expenditure from the Fund).	Agree – this would enable a significantly easier process to change the levy
	there alternative collection methods that would improve levy ection?	B4
24.	The CITB should increase the resources devoted to education and compliance.	Agree - with an increase to compliance only.
25.	If the levy remains calculated according to project value, the South Australian Government should work with the CITB to identify reconciliation options for construction industry projects that are not captured by the usual planning approvals process.	Agree

Allocation of funds obtained through the levy

Does section 32(3) of the CITF Act, which requires money for the provision of training to a given sector in "approximately the same proportion" as the amount contributed by that sector:

- create barriers to holistic workforce and skills development across the building and construction industry?
- result in challenges addressing any particular areas of need such as upskilling, higher-level training, or cross-sector skilling?

No.	Propositions/Question	ToR
26.	A minimum of 60% of the CITB fund allocations to training activity should be allocated between each sector of the building and construction industry in approximately the same proportions as has been contributed to the Fund by that sector. The remainder of training funds may be allocated for holistic or cross-sector programs such as sector attraction and cross-sector development.	Agree – There should be no minimum value as industry changes and there may be specific needs that may require addressing to support the objectives of the Act.
27.	The CITB should allocate funding to administration activities such as research, data analysis, education and compliance.	Agree – We understood this occurred and were not aware of recent Board decisions to reduce this activity / function which we believe is counterproductive to proper compliance of the Act.

Training plans

What impact does the requirement under Section 32(1) for the CITB to produce a training plan on an annual basis have on:

No.	Propositions/Question	ToR
• longer term workforce planning		D1
 addressing longer term skills and workforce requirements investment in multi-year projects or programs? 		D2
28.	Government and the CITB should develop processes that facilitate information and market intelligence sharing in the formative stage of the development of a Training Plan.	Agree
29.	The annual planning cycle should be replaced by four-year rolling reviews of the overall strategic direction developed through the CITB's investment decisions, with capacity for annual adjustments and reallocation of funds.	Agree – we believe however a three-to-four- year period would be more appropriate.

Consideration of other models to support industry outcomes

Are there any other models for supporting industry training and workforce development outcomes that the reviewer recommends to assist the Construction Industry Training Board achieve its objectives?

No other models to compare other than to review the other interstate funds similar to CITF.

Yours Sincerely

Daria McLachlan Matt Sando

Chair of MPA SA President of MPA SA

7th Feb 2023 7th Feb 2023